Stabilization of linear switched DAEs via switching signal

Andrii Mironchenko[1] Fabian Wirth[1] Kai Wulff [2]

[1]Institut für Mathematik
Universität Würzburg

[2]Institut für Automatisierungs- und Systemtechnik
Technische Universität Ilmenau

März 04, 2013
Deskriptor 2013

Outline

Notation for DAEs

Consider a regular DAE

$$E\dot{x} = Ax, \tag{1}$$

Theorem (Quasi-Weierstraß form)

If (1) is regular, then there exist invertible matrices $S, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$:

$$SET = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}, \quad SAT = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where N, J are square matrices and N is nilpotent.

$$A^d := T \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T^{-1} \quad \Pi_{(E,A)} := T \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T^{-1}.$$

The solution of (1) with $x(0) = x_0 \in C_{(E,A)}$, is also a solution of

$$\dot{x} = A^d x.$$

Switched DAEs

We consider

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x} = A_{\sigma(t)}x\,. \tag{2}$$

Switched DAEs

We consider

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x} = A_{\sigma(t)}x\,. \tag{2}$$

It would be nice to rewrite (2) as

$$\dot{x} = A_\sigma^d x.$$

We consider

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x} = A_{\sigma(t)}x\,. \qquad (2)$$

It would be nice to rewrite (2) as

$$\dot{x} = A_{\sigma}^{d}x.$$

But $C_{(E_i,A_i)} \neq C_{(E_j,A_j)}$!

Switched DAEs

We consider

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x} = A_{\sigma(t)}x\,. \tag{2}$$

It would be nice to rewrite (2) as

$$\dot{x} = A^d_\sigma x.$$

But $C_{(E_i,A_i)} \neq C_{(E_j,A_j)}$!

Let us view (2) as a time-variant DAE

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x,$$

Solution of (2) (Trenn, 2009):

$$x(t) = e^{A^d_{r_i}(t-t_i)}\Pi_{r_i} \cdot e^{A^d_{r_{i-1}}(t_i-t_{i-1})}\Pi_{r_{i-1}} \cdots e^{A^d_{r_1}(t_1-t_0)}\Pi_{r_1}x_0, \tag{3}$$

Asymptotic stability and stabilization

$$E(t)\dot{x} = A(t)x, \qquad (4)$$

Definition (Trenn, 2009)

The zero solution of (4) is called globally attractive if for all initial conditions $x(0) = x_0$ the corresponding solutions of (4), does not contain Dirac impulses and its derivatives and converge to zero when $t \to \infty$.

The zero solution of (4) is called globally asymptotically stable (GAS) if it is globally attractive and Lyapunov stable.

Definition

The switched system

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t)$$

is called stabilizable via time-dependent switching if there exists a piecewise continuous switching signal $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{I}$ such that its equilibrium is GAS.

Approximation of DAEs via ODEs

$$\dot{x} = A_i^\varepsilon x$$

with system matrix

$$A_i^\varepsilon := A_i^d \Pi_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(I - \Pi_i) = T_i \begin{pmatrix} J_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} I \end{pmatrix} T_i^{-1}.$$

For the set of system matrices A_i^ε we define the switched linear ODE:

$$\dot{x} = A_{\sigma(t)}^\varepsilon x \tag{5}$$

For all $t > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds

$$(e^{A_i^d t} \Pi_i - e^{A_i^\varepsilon t}) x_0 = e^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} (I - \Pi_i) x_0.$$

Criterion of stabilizability via switching

Theorem (Criterion for stabilizability of a switched DAE)

The following statements are equivalent:

1. *The switched linear DAE* (2) *is stabilizable via a time-dependent switching signal.*
2. *The switched linear DAE* (2) *is stabilizable via the periodic switching signal* σ_p*.*
3. *There exists an* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *so that the switched ODE* (5) *is stabilizable via the (same) periodic switching signal* σ_p *for all* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$*, uniformly w.r.t.* ε*:*
$$\exists s > 0 : \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \Rightarrow |\phi_\varepsilon(s, x_0, \sigma_p)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_0|, \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Criterion of stabilizability via switching

Theorem (Criterion for stabilizability of a switched DAE)

The following statements are equivalent:

1. *The switched linear DAE* (2) *is stabilizable via a time-dependent switching signal.*
2. *The switched linear DAE* (2) *is stabilizable via the periodic switching signal* σ_p*.*
3. *There exists an* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *so that the switched ODE* (5) *is stabilizable via the (same) periodic switching signal* σ_p *for all* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$*, uniformly w.r.t.* ε*:*
$$\exists s > 0 : \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \Rightarrow |\phi_\varepsilon(s, x_0, \sigma_p)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_0|, \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Lemma

Let A_i^d, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ *commute pairwise. If* (5) *is stabilizable via the periodic switching signal* σ_p *for a certain* ε_0*, then it is stabilizable via the same switching signal* σ_p*, uniformly w.r.t.* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$.

Tightness of the above criterion

Consider the system $\Sigma_1 = (E_1, A_1)$ with

$$E_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the system $\Sigma_2 = (E_2, A_2)$ with

$$E_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Their flow matrices and consistency projectors are as follows:

$$\Pi_1 = A_1^d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and

$$\Pi_2 = A_2^d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Pi_1 = A_1^d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Pi_2 = A_2^d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Figure : Typical trajectory of above switched DAE for $x_0 = (1, 0)^T$

Now consider the corresponding approximations of the form (5)

$$A_1^{\varepsilon} = A_1^d \Pi_1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(I - \Pi_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ -1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_2^{\varepsilon} = A_2^d \Pi_2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(I - \Pi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the periodic signal σ_ε of a period 2ε, defined by

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0, \varepsilon), \\ 2, & t \in [\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

$$M_\varepsilon := e^{A_2^\varepsilon \varepsilon} e^{A_1^\varepsilon \varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\varepsilon-1} - (e^\varepsilon - e^{-1})^2 & e^\varepsilon (e^\varepsilon - e^{-1}) \\ -e^{-1}(e^\varepsilon - e^{-1}) & e^{\varepsilon - 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The state of the system (5) at time $2\varepsilon k$, corresponding to σ_ε is given by

$$\phi_{eps}(2\varepsilon k, x_0) = M_\varepsilon^k x_0.$$

Define $y(k) := \phi_{eps}(k2\varepsilon, x_0)$. Then we obtain a discrete system

$$y(k) = M_\varepsilon y(k-1).$$

One can easily compute, that $\rho(M_0) < 1$.

Sufficient condition for stabilizability

Theorem

Assume there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *and* $s > 0$ *so that for all* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ *there exists a periodic switching signal* σ_ε *with period* s *such that*

$$|\phi_\varepsilon(s, x_0, \sigma_\varepsilon)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_0|.$$

Moreover, assume there exists $t_d > 0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$*, for any two subsequent switches of* σ_ε *it holds* $|t_i^\varepsilon - t_{i+1}^\varepsilon| \geq t_d$*. Then* (2) *is stabilizable via* σ_{ε^*} *for some* $\varepsilon^* \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$*.*

Stabilization via Projections

Let I be an index set. Denote $S := \{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ and define

$$Pr(S) := \{S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_r} : \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subset I\}.$$

Define $S_\Pi := \{\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_m\}$. We call (2) stabilizable via projections, if $\exists R \in Pr(S_\Pi)$ with $\rho(R) < 1$.

Proposition

If (2) is stabilizable via projections, then for all $\tau > 0$ and all $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a τ-periodic stabilizer σ_τ of system (2), so that the trajectories of (2) satisfy

$$|\phi(\tau, x_0, \sigma_\tau)| \leq \delta |x_0|, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{6}$$

DAEs with commutative flows

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t)$$

Theorem (Liberzon, Trenn, Wirth, 2011)

Let $i \neq j$ and A_i and A_j are invertible. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $[\Phi_i(t), \Phi_j(s)] = 0$ *for all* $t, s \geq 0$
2. $[A_i^d, A_j^d] = 0$

Both of them imply $[\Pi_i, \Pi_j] = 0$ *as well as* $[A_i^d, \Pi_j] = 0$.

Stabilization of "commuting" DAEs

$$E_{\sigma(t)}\dot{x}(t) = A_{\sigma(t)}x(t) \tag{7}$$

Define $C := \cap_{i=1}^{n} C_{(E_i,A_i)}$.
One can show that $e^{A_i^d t} C \subset C$.

Proposition

Let $[\Phi_i, \Phi_j] = 0$ for all i, j. System (7) is stabilizable via time-dependent switching signal iff

$$\dot{x} = \tilde{A}_\sigma^d x, \quad x(t) \in C$$

is stabilizable via time-dependent switching signal.

Conclusions

What we have done

- Criterion of stabilizability of a switched DAE in terms of ODE approximations
- Conditions for stabilizability of switched DAEs via projections.
- Criterion for stabilizability of DAEs with commutative vector fields.

What we are going to do

- Stabilization of DAEs via state-dependent switching
  1. Lyapunov-type conditions for stabilization
  2. Quadratic stabilization
- Connections between state-dependent and time-dependent stabilization.